

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 388 of 1997

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

PRADEEPKUMAR AMRUTLAL DEPUTY

Versus

SURAT MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

Appearance:

MR DD VYAS for Petitioners

MR PRASHANT G DESAI for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH

Date of decision: 18/11/97

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Rule. Service of rule waived by Mr. P.G. Desai, learned advocate for the respondent.

2. Heard. It might be seen from the initial order that the notice was issued specifically for the purpose of settlement and status-quo was granted. Suggestion now is that the petitioners might be permitted to move an

appropriate representation to the respondent-Corporation for the said corporation to take decision on such representation. Till then the demolition might not be carried out and status-quo might be maintained in that respect.

2. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, following directions are issued :-

The petitioners will make representation to the respondent-corporation within a period of three weeks from today. The respondent corporation will give opportunity to the petitioners of being heard on such representation and decide the representation in accordance with law. There shall be status-quo as aforesaid till the representation is decided by the respondent-corporation and communicated to the petitioners.

In view of the aforesaid directions, this petition is sought to be withdrawn. Permission is accordingly granted. Rule is accordingly discharged. No order as to cost.

* * *

pvr